



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/171910	3/19/1999	Dirk Van Dijk	VR2-002

EXAMINER	
CHEVALIER, ALICIA	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1772	34

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alicia Chevalier (3) Marcel Wieffering  
(2) Bob Weilacher (4) Dick van Dijk

Date of Interview 6/19/03

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description: Small sample of composite wood shown

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All pending

Identification of prior art discussed: All cited

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Dijk discussed the background of the inventive need and process for making the composite. Mr. Wieffering discussed marketability and environmental issues. Applicant's proposed some claim language that would overcome the 102 rejections of record and some other amendments that might overcome the 103 rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Alicia Chevalier 6/19/03

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

### §1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

### Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

## SMITH, GAMBRELL &amp; RUSSELL, LLP

## WASHINGTON, D.C. OFFICE

SUITE 800  
1850 M STREET, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036  
TELEPHONE  
(202) 263-4300  
FACSIMILE  
(202) 263-4320

ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
SUITE 3100, PROMENADE II  
1250 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.

ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309-3592  
TELEPHONE (404) 815-3500  
FACSIMILE (404) 815-3509  
WEBSITE www.sgrlaw.com

ESTABLISHED 1893

## FLORIDA OFFICE

SUITE 2200, BANK OF AMERICA TOWER  
50 NORTH LAURA STREET  
JACKSONVILLE, FL 32202  
TELEPHONE  
(904) 598-8100  
FACSIMILE  
(904) 598-6300

TELECOPY COVER SHEET

DATE: June 17, 2003

Send To:	At (Firm/Company):	Telecopy:	Phone:
Ms. Alicia Chevalier	US PTO	1-703-872-9487	

From:	Telecopy:	Phone:	Client/Matter:	Number of Pages:
Robert Weilacher	1-404-685-6893	1-404-815-3593	044186.003	2

OPERATOR: \_\_\_\_\_ TIME COMPLETED: \_\_\_\_\_  
PLEASE CALL (404) 815-3500 IF YOU HAVE ANY PROBLEMS

## MESSAGE:

Dear Ms. Chevalier:

Herewith is a proposed change in claim 56 which would require cancellation of claim 58 and eliminate the 102 with respect to Jex.

Look forward to seeing you on Thursday.

Bob Weilacher

## CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE:

The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this telecopy is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

# DRAFT

Application No. 09/171,910  
Docket No. 044186.003

56. (Currently Amended) Plastic-based composite product comprising a plastic mass in which particles comprising wood are homogeneously embedded, which particles have tensile strength in a first particle direction, said product having a chosen principal product direction,

wherein the particles comprise:

small particles being fibres and having a random orientation and a length of 0.2-2 mm; and

large particles dominantly oriented such that their first particle direction is in said chosen principal product direction, said large particles having a length in the first particle direction of about 2-6 mm, wherein the product is elongated and the chosen principal product direction is the longitudinal direction of the product.

LIT/815013.1